## TRANSLATION of related part of Form PCT/ISA/237

# PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From Japanese Patent Office
(INTERNATIONAL SEARCH AUTHORITY)

(INTERNATIONAL SEARCH AUT	HORITY)				
To: HAYASE, Kenichi  HAYASE & CO. 13F, NISSAY SHIN-OSAKA Bldg., 3-4-30, Miyahara, Yodogawa-ku, Osaka-shi, Osaka 532-0003 JAPAN		PCT  WRITTEN OPINION OF THE ISA  (PCT Rule 43bis)			
		Date of Mailing	1 June 2004		
Applicant's or agent's file reference P33110-P0		See item 2 below for the subsequent procedure			
International application No. PCT/JP2004/004774	International filing date 1 April 2004		Priority date 1 April 2003		
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC  Int. C1 <sup>7</sup> G09G5/377, G09G5/00, H04N5/45					
Applicant  Matsushita Electric Industrial Co., Ltd.					
1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:  I ⋈ Basis of the opinion  II □ Priority  III □ Non-establishment of report with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability  IV □ Lack of unity of invention  V ⋈ Reasoned statement under Rule 43,2.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement  VI □ Certain documents cited  VI □ Certain defects in the international application  VII □ Certain observations on the international application  OMISSION(2 and 3)					
Date of completion of this opinion  14 May 2004					
Name and mailing address of the ISA/JP  Japanese Patent Of	· ·	Authorized officer Telephone No.			

## TRANSLATION of related part of Form PCT/ISA/237

### WRITTEN OPINION OF THE ISA

International application No. PCT/JP2004/004774

j) &	PCT/JP2004/004774
I . Başis of the opinion	
1. This opinion has been drawn on the basis of the language of indicated below.	f international application, unless otherwise
OMISSION(2, 3, an	d 4)
	·
	•
·	
	•

#### TRANSLATION of related part of Form PCT/ISA/237

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE ISA

International application No. PCT/JP2004/004774

V Reasoned statement under Rule	43,2.1(a)(i) with regard to no	ovelty, inventive step or industrial
applicability; citations and explanati	ons supporting such statement	
1. STATEMENT		
Novelty (N)	Claims 2-5	YES
	Claims 1,6	NO
Inventive Step(IS)	Claims 5	YES
	Claims 1-4,6	NO
Industrial Applicability (IA)	Claims 1-6	YES
	Claims NONE	NO

#### 2. CITATIONS AND EXPLANATIONS

Document 1: JP 2002-149150 A (Matsushita Electric Industrial Co.,Ltd.) 2002.05.24
[0036] - [0040], [0045] - [0055], [Fig.2], [Fig.3], [Fig.5]

Document 2: JP 2002-366128 A (Denso Corporation) 2002.12.20
[0019]

Document 3: JP 11-352946 A (Matsushita Electric Industrial Co.,Ltd.) 1999.12.24
[0015], [0016], [Fig.1]

& US 6335764 B1, column 3,line 55 - column 4,line 26, Fig.1

The invention relating to Claims 1 and 6 has no novelty nor inventive step because it is disclosed in paragraphs [0036] - [0040], [0045] - [0055] and figures [Fig.2], [Fig.3], [Fig.5] of Document 1 that is cited in the International Search Report.

The invention relating to Claim 2 has no inventive step over Document 1 and Document 2 ([0019]) which is cited in the International Search Report. It is easy for those in the art to apply the technique of constituting plural parts in one chip, which is a well-known technique as described in Document 2, to the table memory (corresponding to the video processing unit), the line memory (corresponding to the data storage unit), and the transparent calculation means (corresponding to the video data composition unit), which are disclosed in Document 1.

The invention relating to Claims 3 and 4 has no inventive step over Document 1 and Document 3 ([0015],[0016],[Fig.1]) which is cited in the International Search Report. It is easy for those in the art to adopt the main video, sub video, and OSD video which are described in Document 3, as the plural pieces of video data described in Document 1.

The invention relating to Claim 5 is not obvious to those in the art because it is disclosed in none of the documents cited in the International Search Report.